

## View results

Respondent		<b>80:36</b> Time to complete
12	Anonymous	

1. Do you agree with the proposed vision and goals for the action plan? Which goals are you most supportive of? Are there any other goals that should be considered?

Yes I agree with the proposed vision and goals. I am most supportive of the electric vehicle fleet and embedding climate ACTION (rather than embedding climate change) into government decision making. I would like to see the main focus being Transition and Innovation which is more of a priority than Information and Knowledge.

2. Will the three priority areas -  
(1) information and knowledge  
(2) transition and innovation and  
(3) adaptation and resilience, help Tasmania achieve its legislated 2030 emissions reduction target, and its vision for action on climate change? Are there other issues not covered by the three priority areas?

Yes, these areas will surely help - but by how much will they help? This has not been estimated. The Plan does not give an estimate of the size of emissions reductions from the many actions completed from Climate 21. I understand that such estimates are very difficult. All the excellent actions taken for Climate 21 and since then, have most likely made a small contribution to reducing emissions compared with what happened in the LULUCF sector between 1990 and 2023 and what the forestry carbon sink has done for emissions reduction in Tasmania. Nevertheless, all contributions to reducing emissions are valuable, whatever the size.

3. Will the key actions under Priority area 1 help support decision making for you and your community or organisation? What types of projects should be supported under the final action plan?

Yes. The actions listed should be supported. It is not clear what 'undertaking research on climate change priorities' is but it sounds unnecessary because from existing research the priorities should already be known.

4. Will the key actions under Priority area 2 support Tasmania to achieve its 2030 emissions reduction target and continued emissions reduction across Tasmania? What types of projects should be supported under the final action plan?

All the projects listed should be supported. Developing Emissions Reduction and Resilience Plans for the sectors should be enough to do in the 2 years of the Plan! The scale of emissions reduction due to particular actions is not apparent. The best fit opportunities for Tasmania from the Tasmanian Emissions Pathway Review is very helpful for quantifying the size of reductions from actions, though it is about estimates to 2050 rather than 2030. The Action Plan would benefit from this table making an appearance earlier in the document, along with a visual representation of the potential abatement from the different sectors. Given the title, perhaps the information about what has already been achieved, impressive though it is, could be a little less prominent?

5. Will the key actions under Priority area 3 build resilience and support adaptation planning across Tasmania? What types of projects should be supported under the final action plan?

The statewide Climate Risk Assessment should reference and build on the risk assessments already undertaken by most of the southern councils (sponsored by the Southern Tasmanian Councils Authority).

6. Are there other ways the government could make its action on climate change, and progress towards meeting its targets, more transparent and accessible?

person to person and group networking so that people hear about information that is available as they may not proactively seek it out

## 7. If you have any further questions or feedback please add it here

A brief discussion about why 1.5 degrees C is referred to on page 12 (science based approach) and not 2 degrees, due to the national carbon budget modelling being based on 'less than 2' degrees rather than 1.5 degrees. Also there is debate in the scientific community about whether it is possible to achieve stabilising the climate at a 1.5 degree increase from pre-industrial levels, in the absence of viable carbon removal technologies. This is the advice I received from Ironbark Sustainability when modelling a carbon budget and emissions reduction target for Council.

Page 17 shows the highest emissions are from energy, so reducing emissions from this sector should be a priority - thus the second round of the Business Resource Efficiency Program could have more focus on energy and less on waste.

Tables on reducing emissions - more emphasis on 'We Are' and less on 'We Have'  
p22 who is not specified - state acting as a sink for who? the nation?

## 8. Please provide your full name, or the name of your organisation \* Helen

Peters Sustainability Officer Clarence City Council representing myself not Council

## 9. Please provide your email address (optional)

## 10. Do you give permission for the Climate Change Office to publish your submission? \*

Yes

No